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Although most Class II orthopedic treatments have been recommended for use in juveniles and adolescents, orthopedic intervention with the Herbst appliance has recently been suggested for use in young adults. Previous experimental studies have suggested that the potential for adaptation in the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) region of the young adult, while diminished compared with that of adolescents, still may be sufficient to allow for the resolution of Class II problems. The purpose of the current study was to evaluate histologically the response of the mandibular condyle, glenoid fossa, and posterior border of the ramus of adult Rhesus monkeys whose mandibles had been positioned forward with a Herbst appliance. Acrylic splint Herbst appliances were bonded to the maxillary and mandibular dental arches of 14 female adult animals. The animals were killed at 3-, 6-, 12-, and 24-week intervals after appliance placement. Seven female adult Rhesus monkeys served as controls. The TMJ regions were removed and analyzed histologically qualitatively and quantitatively by way of the Bioquant IV measuring system (Bioquant Image Analysis Corp, Nashville, TN). Significant variation was observed in the TMJ regions of the control animals, with some animals having identifiable prechondroblastic and chondroblastic layers of condylar cartilage and others with more fibrous coverings of the mandibular condyle. In the experimental animals, adaptive changes in the condylar cartilage were evident as early as 3 weeks, with the dimensions of the condylar cartilage increasing gradually throughout the experimental period. Only minor changes were noted in the articular tissue. All adult control animals had a bony cap, and the bony cap persisted in the experimental animals. Significant bone deposition occurred along the anterior surface of the postglenoid spine only in the 6- and 12-week experimental groups. No significant areas of bone deposition and resorption were noted along the posterior border of the ramus. The result of any study in animals, even in nonhuman primates, obviously cannot be applied directly to human functional orthopedic treatment. Because the tissue types and the morphology of the TMJ generally are similar between monkey and man, however, the findings of the present study may provide some insight concerning the changes occurring within the mandibular condyle and glenoid fossa when a Herbst appliance is used clinically in young adult patients. (Semin Orthod 2003;9:26-40.) Copyright 2003, Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.
The 28th Annual Moyers Symposium considered the timing of orthodontic and orthopedic interventions used to correct various types of skeletal and occlusal problems. The presentations and discussions at that symposium can be considered representative of the broad spectrum of orthodontic opinion in existence today among practitioners concerning the optimal time for the initiation of orthodontic and orthopedic therapy, with recommendations that extend from initiating treatment in preschool children (Hamilton DC, personal communication, 2001) to those entering young adulthood.

Considerable controversy still remains concerning the most advantageous time to begin orthopedic treatment of Class II malocclusion, with some clinical investigators recommending deferring functional jaw orthopedics until about the time of the pubertal growth spurt. For example, increased increments of mandibular length have been noted in patients who began functional appliance treatment in the late mixed or early permanent dentition (~11.5 years of age) than in those who began treatment in the early mixed dentition (~8.5 years of age). If the maturation of the cervical vertebrae (CVM) is used as a marker, increased growth responses have been observed with functional appliance therapy at about the time of the pubertal growth spurt (CVM stages 3-4) than at earlier stages of maturation (CVM stages 1-2).

Although the major focus of debate about the timing of Class II intervention has been whether juvenile or adolescent intervention is more advantageous, Pancherz and von Bremen and Ruf and Pancherz recently have broadened the discussion of the age of intervention considerably, suggesting orthopedic intervention in young adults by way of the Herbst appliance. They summarize the current concept for Class II treatment as growth modification in juveniles and adolescents, camouflage orthodontics in postadolescents, and corrective jaw surgery in adults. These investigators, however, have raised the possibility of orthopedic correction of Class II malocclusion in young adults, recommending such intervention in women 18 to 24 years of age and in men 20 to 25 years of age. Camouflage orthodontics and orthognathic surgery remain treatment options in older adults.

Pancherz and coworkers have based their recommendations on the results from roentgenographic cephalometric and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the temporomandibular joint performed in adolescent and young adult Herbst patients. In these studies, it was shown that condylar growth in young adults could be reactivated. The increase in mandibular length accomplished by Herbst therapy in both adolescents and young adults seemed to be a result of condylar and glenoid fossa remodeling. Additionally, the mandibular skeletal contribution to Class II molar and overjet correction in young adult Herbst patients amounted to 25% on average.

Furthermore, basic science has disclosed the presence of zones of unmineralized growth cartilage and undifferentiated mesenchymal cells in the adult mandibular condyle. Researchers and clinicians have also noted favorable TMJ responses in young adult patients after condylar fracture therapy, corrective jaw surgery, and mandibular repositioning in disk displacement therapy. During each of these procedures, the mandibular condyle typically is repositioned within the glenoid fossa; however, minimal pathological findings (eg, temporomandibular disorders) have been reported in young adult patients.

Previous Clinical Studies

The general topic of functional appliance therapy in adults has received scant attention during the last few decades, although some clinicians have advocated the use of such appliances to alleviate temporomandibular joint dysfunction or to correct minor or major skeletal and dental discrepancies.

Previous clinical investigations of functional appliance treatment in adults are anecdotal at best. One of the few published clinical studies of functional appliance treatment in young adults was a prospective pilot study by McNamara concerning the efficacy of the function regulator (FR-2) appliance of Fränkel in 5 adult patients. Only 3 of the 5 patients completed a minimum of 1 year of full-time appliance wear. The 3 patients wore a function regulator from a minimum of 1 year to a maximum of 3.5 years. The length of the mandible did not increase significantly in any of the patients. In all patients, however, there were increases in the vertical dimension. McNamara concluded that
only minimal skeletal and dental adaptation occurred and that these adaptations were insufficient to resolve the patients’ malocclusions completely. No large-scale prospective clinical trials have addressed the issue of functional appliance therapy in adults.

**Previous Experimental Studies**

Although numerous experimental investigations have considered the possibility of advancing the mandible therapeutically in juveniles and adolescents, studies of adaptations within the young adult temporomandibular joint are much more limited. Historically, the temporomandibular joint region of adult animals was regarded as largely unresponsive to changes in occlusal conditions, especially by Ramfjord and coworkers. Historic \(^{33-35}\) Hiniker and Ramfjord \(^{33-35}\) reported that the temporomandibular joints of the adult Rhesus monkey were very stable and resistant to changes in occlusal relations and trauma. They stated that the microscopic adaptations in the joint were “insignificant, non-progressive and possibly reversible without treatment.” The predominance of dentoalveolar adaptations led Ramfjord and Ash \(^{36}\) to state that there is a “need for adapting the occlusion to the joints rather than hoping for the joints to adapt to the occlusion, at least when considering the temporomandibular joint.”

The first large-scale experimental study of adaptation of the adult temporomandibular joint comparable to those on growing animals was conducted by McNamara and coworkers in 1982.\(^ {37}\) Twelve young adult Rhesus monkeys (precise ages unknown) were fitted with cast functional protrusion appliances for periods ranging from 2 to 24 weeks. Seven other animals were used as controls. Histological analysis of the temporomandibular joint region showed that half of the sample exhibited a tissue response that was similar qualitatively to that noted previously in juvenile animals.\(^ {31}\) They found, however, that the response in young adults was reduced in magnitude from that observed in younger animals. In addition, 3 animals that functioned anteriorly exhibited little or no detectible condylar response, and 3 other animals developed unilateral posterior crossbites after appliance placement by positioning the jaw to one side rather than forward. McNamara and colleagues\(^ {37}\) concluded that although some adaptive capability still may be present in the temporomandibular joints of young adult animals, the joint response was highly variable in occurrence and modest in magnitude in comparison to juveniles.

The variability in response observed in this 1982 study\(^ {37}\) was somewhat unexpected, given that presumably all animals were young, physiologically mature females with third molars in occlusion. To evaluate the relative age of the animals in the study, Hinton and McNamara\(^ {38}\) subsequently analyzed occlusal and interproximal tooth wear data from the sample. Because all animals were housed under the same conditions and were fed an identical diet, fewer assumptions were required than when this method of analysis is applied to human samples.\(^ {39,40}\) Hinton and McNamara\(^ {38}\) concluded that when the experimental animals were ranked by age on the basis of dental attrition data, those animals with evident hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the condylar cartilage were among the youngest in the sample of adult females, supporting the view that although the adaptive potential of the temporomandibular joint may diminish with age, the ability to adapt to altered occlusal function persists in some younger adult animals.

Relatively few experimental studies of forced mandibular protrusion by way of the Herbst appliance have been conducted, with data from only 1 adult animal analyzed. In what amounted to a case report, Woodside and coworkers\(^ {41}\) noted a small amount of remodeling in the superior aspect of the condyle of a juvenile Cynomolgus monkey that wore a Herbst appliance for a 13-week period. The glenoid fossa also showed extensive bone formation in the posterior region. In a follow-up study,\(^ {42}\) 5 animals wore Herbst appliances for 6 to 13 weeks, and 2 additional animals wore nonactivated appliances as sham controls. The investigators classified 1 animal as a juvenile, 5 animals as adolescents (including the sham controls), and only 1 animal as an adult. No condylar response was observed in the adult animal.

Thus, although tissue responses have been documented in the experimental literature regarding the adaptations within the temporomandibular joint regions of juveniles and adolescents, there is a paucity of information regarding condylar and fossa adaptations in
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adults. Previous experimental studies have indicated that adaptive responses can occur in young adult animals, although in general the magnitude of the response is diminished in comparison to that observed in younger animals. The response of the adult temporomandibular joint specifically to forced protrusion with the Herbst appliance has not been investigated rigorously. This report describes the tissue responses that occur in adult nonhuman primates subsequent to forced protrusion; a companion study on juveniles is presented elsewhere.

Materials and Methods

Twenty-one adult female Rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) were used in this study. The adult female monkey was chosen as the experimental model because the canines of the female more closely resemble those of the human than do those of the male Rhesus monkey. Each of the 14 experimental animals wore an acrylic splint Herbst appliance that was bonded to the maxillary and mandibular dental arches. Three adult animals were terminated after 3 weeks, 5 adults after 6 weeks, 3 adults after 12 weeks, and the remaining 3 adults after 24 weeks. Seven additional adult female monkeys served as controls.

The Herbst appliance was modified for use in the monkey by including full acrylic coverage of the maxillary anterior teeth and palatal region. The appliance was constructed so that the mandibles were advanced an average of 3.3 mm (this average does not include 1 adult animal who was advanced 6.0 mm, which is discussed later). The specific amount of advancement, however, varied slightly among animals. No subsequent reactivation of the appliances was performed. The splint was bonded in place using Excel™ adhesive (Reliance Orthodontic Products, Itasca, IL).

Histological Analysis

The animals were prepared for termination, and the tissue blocks taken from the temporomandibular joint regions subsequently were prepared for histological analysis according to our standard protocols described in detail elsewhere. The tissue sections were evaluated both qualitatively and quantitatively by way of light microscopy. The portions of the study requiring linear measurements were quantified with a Bioquant IV microscopic system (Bioquant Image Analysis Corp, Nashville, TN).

Both central and medial sections of the TMJ region were selected for analysis. The mandibular condyle and the posterior border of the mandible were examined in central sections; the postglenoid spine was studied in more medial sections. Three central sections and 2 medial sections per animal were examined for each joint.

Statistical Analysis

Differences in condylar articular tissues and cartilage between control and experimented animals were determined by way of paired t tests. In addition, a least squares regression analysis was used to detect significant variations for articular tissue and cartilage width within experimental groups.

The presence of a bony cap was judged simply to be present or absent. The postglenoid spine, presence of ossified cartilage in the condyle, and the posterior border of the mandible were evaluated by assigning a degree of expression rating of 0 to 3 (0 = none, 1 = minimal, 2 = moderate, 3 = extensive). A chi-square analysis was used to evaluate the postglenoid spine, ossified cartilage, and posterior border of the mandible. To use the chi-square analysis, the combined readings of each joint and ramus were collapsed into 2 categories (0-1 and 2-3). On infrequent occasions, the combined rating for a joint or ramus was in between the 0-1 or 2-3 category; in these instances, the particular joint or ramus was eliminated from the chi-square analysis.

The error of the measurement for the quantitative data was determined by remeasuring 12 sections in the control and 12 more in the experimental groups. The error was within acceptable limits, with the exception of the 24-week experimental measurement of superior cartilage width.

Results

The temporomandibular joint regions of adult control and experimental animals were analyzed both qualitatively and quantitatively. Of major interest were the mandibular condyle, the glenoid fossa, and the postglenoid spine (Fig 1). Although significant variability was evident even
Figure 1. The temporomandibular joint of 2 adult control animals. Lower power view of the mandibular condyle, articular disk, and retrodiscal tissue of the first animal. The inferior surface of the articular eminence can be observed superiorly (A). Higher power view of the same young adult monkey, illustrating abundant prechondroblastic and chondroblastic cells underlying the articular tissue covering the condyle (B). Similar views of another control animal with limited cellular activity in the mandibular condyle. The postglenoid spine can be observed in C (C and D).

among the control animals, their temporomandibular joints shared common characteristics. Importantly, no pathological findings were detected in any of the control or experimental animals analyzed.

**Condylar Cartilage**

The condylar cartilage generally can be divided into prechondroblastic and chondroblastic zones, although a precise differentiation into 2 layers was difficult in some control and experimental animals. The quantity of chondrocytes in the adult control condyles was reduced in comparison to untreated juveniles. The number of hypertrophied chondrocytes within the mandibular condyles varied widely among the control animals, with condylar cartilage hypertrophy obvious in some animals (Fig 1A and B) but not in others (Fig 1C and D). In the latter condyles in particular, collagen fibers typically were visible faintly in the matrix, giving the tissue the appearance of fibrocartilage in many areas. Overall, the condylar cartilage was thickest in the superior region (144 μm) and thinnest in the posterior region (104 μm, Fig 2).

Adaptive changes in the condylar cartilage were evident as early as 3 weeks (Fig 3). In fact, in all areas measured, the total width of the condylar (prechondroblastic-chondroblastic) cartilage increased significantly over controls, with the greatest increases in width occurring in the posterior (177 μm) and posterosuperior (188 μm) regions (Fig 2, Table 1). Further condylar cartilage proliferation was evident at 6 weeks (Figs 2 and 4).

The thickness of the condylar cartilage continued to increase with time (Table 2). By 12 weeks (Figs 2 and 5), the average thickness of
the posterior condylar cartilage was 246 μm, in comparison to 103 μm in the controls. At 24 weeks (Figs 2 and 6), the average thickness was 262 μm. Similarly, the average thickness of the posterosuperior region of the 12- and 24-week animals was 277 μm and 261 μm, respectively, in comparison to 128 μm in the controls.

Articular Tissue

Articular tissue covered the articulating surfaces of all condyles. This tissue consisted of dense connective tissue, with groups of fibers running parallel to the curvature of the head of the condyle. The thickness of the articular tissue in the controls ranged from 60 to 73 μm (Fig 2).

After 3 weeks of wearing the Herbst appliance (Fig 3), hyperplasia of the articular connective tissue had occurred to a significant degree in both the posterior and posterosuperior areas of the condyle (Fig 2, Table 1). The slight increase in superior articular tissue width over the control, however, did not represent a statistically significant change. The articular tissue after 6 weeks of appliance wear (Fig 4) had diminished widths in all areas measured compared with that noted at 3 weeks. The posterosuperior tissue

Figure 3. The temporomandibular joint region of a 3-week adult experimental animal. Lower power view of the overall joint morphology (A). Higher power view of the mandibular condyle. Little change in morphology is observed in either view, except for some soft-tissue thickening anterior to the postglenoid spine (B).
zone was the only area to continue to exhibit statistically significant hyperplasia over controls (Table 1). The articular tissue of the 12-week (Fig 5) and 24-week (Fig 6) animals responded somewhat similarly relative to the controls, in that a slight increase in thickness was recorded in both the posterior and posterosuperior regions over what had occurred previously (Fig 2, Table 1). The superior articular connective tissues, which had remained relatively stable throughout the experimental period, finally showed a minor but statistically significant ($P < .05$) width increase at the 24th week (Table 1).

### Bony Cap

All adult control condyles had what can be termed a bony cap, in that there was a closing off of the cartilage layer from the underlying medullary spaces by a coalescence of bony trabeculae and, in many instances, by a compact layer of what appeared to be lamellar bone (Fig 1). The presence of the bony cap provided a distinct separation between the articular and condylar cartilage and the underlying medullary spaces. Relatively few invaginations occurred at the cartilage-bone interface, an observation in sharp contrast to the zone of hypertrophying and degenerating chondrocytes and the deposition of new bone next to remnants of calcified cartilage matrix observed in young growing Rhesus monkeys. Remnants of calcified cartilage matrix could be distinguished in the condyles of almost all adult animals, but the number of occurrences was reduced significantly in comparison to juvenile controls. In the experimental animals, the bony cap persisted, with no significant differences between control and experimental groups noted (Tables 3 and 4).

### Ossified Cartilage

Ossified cartilage or calcified cartilage matrix is the last morphologic stage of condylar cartilage before it ossifies into trabecular bone. The presence of calcified cartilage matrix within the condyle can be considered a sign of active bone formation. Only 2 control and one 12-week experimental condyle showed a significant amount of ossified cartilage. Overall, there still were no statistically significant differences between the control and any of the experimental groups (Tables 3 and 4).

### Postglenoid Spine

The anterior and posterior surfaces of the postglenoid spine typically are quiescent in adult control animals, as is shown in Figure 7A. By 3 weeks, some indications of a thickening of the soft tissue immediately in front of the anterior aspect of the postglenoid spine (Fig 7B). At 12 weeks, areas of new bone deposition along the anterior border of the postglenoid spine could be observed (Fig 7C). Overall, significant bone deposition along the anterior surface was observed only in the 6- and 12-week experimental groups; interestingly, increased bone resorption along the same surface was noted as well at 6 weeks, but at no other experimental interval (Tables 3 and 4).

### Abbreviations

+ $P < .05$; ++ $P < .01$; +++ $P < .001$; NS, not significant; n, number of temporal mandibular joints (includes right and left joints).

---

**Table 1. Adult Control Versus Adult Experimental (t test)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3 wk (n = 6)</th>
<th>6 wk (n = 10)</th>
<th>12 wk (n = 6)</th>
<th>24 wk (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posterior articular cartilage</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterosuperior articular cartilage</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior articular cartilage</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior condylar cartilage</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterosuperior condylar cartilage</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior condylar cartilage</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: +, $P < .05$; ++, $P < .01$; +++ , $P < .001$, NS, not significant; n, number of temporal mandibular joints (includes right and left joints).

---

**Table 2. Least Squares Regression Analysis (3 Weeks, 6 Weeks, 12 Weeks, and 24 Weeks.)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Posterior Articular Cartilage</th>
<th>Post Superior Articular Cartilage</th>
<th>Superior Articular Cartilage</th>
<th>Posterior Condylar Cartilage</th>
<th>Post Superior Condylar Cartilage</th>
<th>Superior Condylar Cartilage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult experiment</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: +, $P < .05$; ++, $P < .01$; +++ , $P < .001$, NS, not significant.
Posterior Border of the Ramus

The posterior border of the mandibular ramus was examined for both resorption and apposition. No animals in the control or experimental groups demonstrated significant areas bone deposition or resorption (Tables 3 and 4).

Discussion

As mentioned at the beginning of this article, one of the more recent recommendations concerning the orthopedic treatment of Class II malocclusion is that of Pancherz and coworkers who have advocated the use of the Herbst
applicance in young adults. These investigators have based their recommendations on a number of experimental and clinical observations, including the presence of zones of unmineralized growth cartilage and undifferentiated mesenchymal cells in the adult mandibular condyle, as well as the favorable responses clinically in young adult patients after condylar fracture ther-

Table 3. Adult Control and Experimental data Indicating the Presence or Absence of Certain Histological Characteristics for the Anatomic Areas Listed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Areas</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>3-week Experiment</th>
<th>6-week Experiment</th>
<th>12-week Experiment</th>
<th>24-week Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postglenoid spine—posterior surface resorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postglenoid spine—posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postglenoid spine—anterior surface resorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postglenoid spine—anterior surface reposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of bony cap—condyle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ossified cartilage—condyle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior border of ramus—resorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior border of ramus—apposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Two or 3 slides were evaluated for each joint area and judged collectively to be either in the 0–1 or 2–3 category. If they were judged to be between the 2 categories, they were omitted from the above data compilation and chi-square analysis.

Abbreviations: n, number of temporal mandibular joints for each category (includes right and left); 0–1, none-minimal; 2–3 moderate-extensive.
apy, corrective jaw surgery, and mandibular repositioning in disk displacement therapy. The recommendation of Pancherz and coworkers\(^{3,7,8}\) obviously raises the issue of the potential for clinically significant condylar adaptations in young adult patients. This question can be addressed by both experimental and clinical studies.

Table 4. Chi-Square Test for Statistical Significance for Individual Anatomic Areas in Adult Animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Areas</th>
<th>3-wk Experiment</th>
<th>6-wk Experiment</th>
<th>12-wk Experiment</th>
<th>24-wk Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post glenoid spine-posterior surface resorption</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post glenoid spine-posterior surface apposition</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post glenoid spine-anterior surface resorption</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post glenoid spine-anterior surface apposition</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of bony cap-condyle</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ossified cartilage-condyle</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post border of ramus</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resorption</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post, border of ramus</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2X4 Chi-square All 4 Experimental Groups Compared Among Themselves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3-wk Experiment</th>
<th>6-wk Experiment</th>
<th>12-wk Experiment</th>
<th>24-wk Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: n, number of temporal mandibular joints for each category (includes right and left); +, P < .05; ++, P < .01; ++++, P < .001; NS, not significant; NA chi-square not appropriate to calculate because of excessive zeros in the 2 x 2 configuration.

Figure 7. Postglenoid spine of a control adult Rhesus monkey. Neither the anterior (right) nor posterior (left) surfaces of the spine show evidence of bone deposition or resorption (A). Postglenoid spine of a 3-week experimental animal. Note the thickening of the soft tissue anteriorly (B). Deposition of new bone is occurring along the anterior aspect of the postglenoid spine; the posterior border appears quiescent (C).
**Experimental Investigations**

The current study considered the structural adaptations occurring in the temporomandibular joint regions of adult female Rhesus monkeys that had worn a specially designed acrylic splint Herbst appliance for variable time periods (ie, 3-24 weeks). In many respects, the present investigation is similar to the adult functional protrusion study conducted previously by the Michigan group in which cast Ticonium (Ticonium Co, Albany, NY) splints were used to produce a forward position of the lower jaw.\(^{37}\) In both studies, considerable variation was observed in the temporomandibular joint region of those animals used as controls. Some animals had easily identifiable areas of condylar cartilage that could be differentiated into prechondroblastic and chondroblastic zones. In other control animals, the condylar cartilage underlying the articular tissue either was reduced substantially in thickness or absent altogether. The outer condylar layers in these animals looked more like dense irregular connective tissue or fibrocartilage rather than the type of condylar cartilage typically seen in juveniles.\(^{15,42-45}\)

The differences in adaptive potential within the temporomandibular joint appear to be age related, with the mandibular condyle gradually losing the capability of adapting as the individual matures. A simple comparison of the thickness of the condylar cartilage in adults controls used in the current study (104-144 µm) to the controls used in the companion study of Herbst treatment in juvenile Rhesus monkeys\(^{43}\) (248-312 µm) may show that the potential for adaptation in adults is reduced in comparison to younger animals. Further, Hinton and McNamara,\(^{38}\) when evaluating the maturational level of the animals used in the original adult functional protrusion study,\(^{37}\) concluded that when the monkeys were ranked by age based on dental attrition data the younger animals tended to have condylar cartilage with evident hypertrophy and hyperplasia, whereas older adult animals tended to have much less defined areas of condylar cartilage. These observations support the view that although the adaptive potential of the temporomandibular joint may diminish with age, the ability to adapt to altered occlusal function still may persist in some younger adult animals.

Both the current study and the original adult functional protrusion study\(^{37}\) support this concept, in that most of the temporomandibular joints examined showed evidence that structural adaptations had occurred after an alteration in occlusal function. For example, in both studies, an increase in the number of cells in the prechondroblastic-chondroblastic layers was noted as was deposition of new bone at the cartilage-bone interface. The precise nature of the appliance worn (ie, acrylic splint Herbst vs cast ticonium splint) probably made little difference in the nature of the tissue response with the temporomandibular joints because the result of the intervention was a forward repositioning of the mandible in both instances.

The current study of Herbst appliance therapy in adult Rhesus monkeys also can be compared with the companion study of the Herbst appliance in juvenile Rhesus monkeys.\(^{43}\) Although not as pronounced, the response of the adult animals to the Herbst appliance was qualitatively similar to the response of the juvenile monkeys to the same type of appliance. It should be noted that the magnitude of response in the adult monkeys, however, was reduced. For instance, in the juvenile study,\(^{43}\) the average thickness of the posterior region of the condylar cartilage in the controls was 272 µm, whereas the maximum thickness of the same region occurred in the experimental animals at 3 weeks after appliance placement (512 µm). By 24 weeks, the thickness of the posterior condylar cartilage was 336 µm. In contrast, the thickness of the same region in the adult controls was 104 µm. During the experimental period, there was a gradual increase in thickness of the posterior condylar cartilage from 177 µm at 3 weeks, 227 µm at 6 weeks, 246 µm at 12 weeks, and 262 µm at 24 weeks. In other words, the condylar cartilage of the juvenile monkeys responded immediately (within 3 weeks) to the change in occlusal function, then gradually decreased in thickness with time. The condylar cartilage in the adult animals diminished in thickness before treatment compared with the juveniles and responded more gradually to the change in occlusal function—reaching a maximum thickness at the end of the 24-week experimental period. Similar differences in maturational levels have been observed when adult monkeys treated with a functional protrusive appliance\(^{37}\) were com-
pared with juveniles wearing the same type of cast device.31

A basic assumption underlying any discussion of orthopedic correction of Class II malocclusion is that ultimately the length of the mandible will be increased. The assumption is made that the increased proliferation of the condylar cartilage will be followed by increased bone deposition at the cartilage-bone interface. This supposition has been supported by a serial cephalometric study that evaluated long-term mandibular adaptations to induced protrusive function.32 At the end of the 144-week experimental period, the mandibles of the treated animals were 5 to 6 mm longer than those of the control animals. The results of this study indicate that at least in growing animals, new bone formation follows experimentally produced condylar cartilage hypertrophy; similar findings have been observed in rats after induced protrusive function.46 Related studies in adult animals have not been published.

The discussion thus far has focused on the response of the mandibular condyle to changes in occlusal function. Another clinically relevant area of adaptation is within the glenoid fossa. The first investigator to suggest this type of adaptation was Breitner,25-24,47 who noted significant adaptive changes in the temporal bone after functional protrusion. He concluded that “a mesial migration of the glenoid fossa” had occurred. Similar findings were reported by Häupl and Psansky,21 but other investigators27-46,49 have not observed evidence of significant forward migration of the glenoid fossa.

Woodside and coworkers42 have noted changes in the temporal bone after Herbst treatment in 7 Cynomolgus monkeys. Five of the animals wore Herbst appliances for 6 to 13 weeks; 2 additional animals wore inactivated appliances as sham controls. The bonded appliances were activated 2 mm initially and then were reactivated every 2 weeks for an additional 1 to 2 mm, with a total activation of 7 to 10 mm. One of the primary findings noted by Woodside and coworkers42 was a progressive anterior remodeling of the glenoid fossa produced by continuous and progressive mandibular protrusion, thus leading to an anterior repositioning of the mandible.

The amount of bite advancement may be a critical issue with regard to inducing changes within the glenoid fossa, including the postglenoid spine in monkeys. In the current study, the appliances were constructed so that the mandibles were advanced 3 to 4 mm. Each animal was radiographed after appliance insertion, and the actual amount of advancement was 3.3 mm, not including 1 animal whose mandible was advanced 6 mm inadvertently. The postglenoid spines of the adult control animals tended to be quiescent, with little deposition or resorption noted. Increased bone deposition was noted only in some of the 6- and 12-week experimental animals. Interestingly, the animal whose mandible was advanced 6 mm showed the most dramatic increase in bone deposition along the anterior aspect of the postglenoid spine (Fig 7C). It may be that an aggressive advancement of the mandible may produce not only condylar adaptations but also clinically relevant changes within the glenoid fossa.

Clinical Investigations

The results of this experimental investigation of adult Rhesus monkeys in general are in agreement with those in young adult Class II malocclusion subjects treated with the Herbst appliance.7 In the Herbst patients, magnetic resonance images (MRIs) were taken before placement of the appliance, 6 to 12 weeks after appliance placement, and after treatment after appliance removal. In the 14 adult subjects analyzed, signs of condylar remodeling were seen at the posterior border after 6 to 12 weeks of Herbst treatment. This finding was noted in 26 of the 28 condyles analyzed. Bilateral remodeling of the mandibular ramus could be detected in 2 subjects. Signs of glenoid fossa remodeling at the anterior surface of the postglenoid spine were noted in 22 joints.

The increase in MRI signal intensity on the posterosuperior aspect of the condyles found in the MRIs of the young adult Herbst patients at 6 to 12 weeks of treatment presumably would be the result of reactivation of the cells in the prechondroblastic zone, thus representing an area of active condylar growth. This picture represents the histologically proven hyperplasia of the prechondroblastic-chondroblastic area in monkeys. Furthermore, the changes in MRI signal of the patients treated with the Herbst appliance correspond in time to the histological changes observed in the experimental animals.
MRI signs of ramus remodeling were seen in only 2 of the 14 adult Herbst subjects; distinct remodeling along the posterior border of the ramus was not noted in any of the experimental or control animals. In some respects, these findings in 2 different species, although in agreement, are surprising. Logically some increased bone deposition along the posterior border of the ramus might be expected, especially with increased growth at the mandibular condyle. Because the prechondroblastic cells in the condyle are very similar to the preosteoblasts of the periosteum of the mandible, they should react in a similar way to mechanical stimuli. Such a response along the posterior border of the ramus, however, was not evident in the experimental animals.

Fossa remodeling as visualized by MRI in 22 of the 28 temporomandibular joints of the young adult Herbst subjects occurred at a later treatment stage than condylar remodeling. A similar delay in temporomandibular bone response was observed histologically in the Herbst monkeys. Furthermore, fossa adaptations in the Herbst patients, as seen in the MRIs, seemed less intensive than in some of the Herbst monkeys, which may be because of the relative size of the postglenoid spine in the 2 species. The human postglenoid spine is reduced in size in comparison to that of the monkeys.

Summary and Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to evaluate histologically the mandibular condyle, glenoid fossa, and the posterior border of the ramus in adult female Rhesus monkeys whose mandibles had been positioned forward with a Herbst appliance. Acrylic splint Herbst appliances were bonded to the maxillary and mandibular dental arches of 14 female adult animals. The animals were terminated at 3-, 6-, 12-, and 24-week intervals after appliance placement. Seven female adult Rhesus monkeys served as controls. The temporomandibular joint regions were removed en bloc and were prepared for qualitative and quantitative histological analysis.

The results of this study indicate that structural adaptations occurred in most of the joints removed from the experimental animals. The following observations were noted.

1. No evidence of pathology was noted in any of the control or experimental temporomandibular joints examined.
2. Increased proliferation of the condylar cartilage was noted in the experimental animals. The thickness of the cartilage increased as the study progressed.
3. Some thickening occurred in the articular tissue covering the mandibular condyle, particularly at 12 and 24 weeks after appliance placement.
4. Minimal adaptations were observed along the anterior surface of the postglenoid spine, particularly at 6 and 12 weeks.
5. No evidence of bony apposition or resorption on the posterior border of the mandibular ramus was noted.

The current study did not consider another component of Class II correction, that being the role of dentoalveolar compensation. Herbst appliance therapy is known to produce roughly equal skeletal and dentoalveolar adaptations in adolescent patients. Recent data on young adult Herbst patients indicates that the skeletal contributions to Class II correction may be closer to 25%.

The result of any study in animals, even in nonhuman primates, obviously cannot be applied directly to human functional orthopedic treatment. Because the tissue types and the morphology of the TMJ generally are similar between monkey and man, however, the findings of the present study may lead to a better understanding of the changes occurring within the mandibular condyle and glenoid fossa when the Herbst appliance is used clinically in young adult patients.
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